

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,613	09/27/2001	Rik Sagar	US018141	4776
24737	7590 11/04/2005		EXAMINER	
	TELLECTUAL PROPER	JABR, FADEY S		
P.O. BOX 300	1			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3639	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/966,613	SAGAR, RIK			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fadey S. Jabr	3639			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2001.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "form" is assumed to be "from" in line 3 of claim 16. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "for dialing make telephone calls..." is unclear to the office what the applicant is attempting to set forth in line 2 of claim 18. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 11 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the customer" in line 4 of claim 1. Also, claims 1, 11 and 25 recite the limitation "the provider network" in line 8 of claim 1, in lines 8, 10 and 12 of claim 11, and in lines 9 and 13 of claim 25. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Art Unit: 3639

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1.

As per <u>Claim 1</u>, Sunyich discloses an automated booking system for guest accommodations, comprising:

- a guest accommodation which can be automatically booked by the system and used by the customer for a period of time (Para. 16, 17 and 21);
- a network of a guest accommodations reservation provider having a data base for customer preferences (Para. 21);
- reserving means for communication between a home network and the provider network for reserving the guest accommodations for a period of use (Para. 8);
- preference transmission means for transmitting customer preferences from a data base of the home network to the data base of the provider network (Para. 8); and
- means for accessing the provider data base for providing the accommodations depending on the customer preferences (Para. 21).

Page 4

As per <u>Claim 2</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the guest accommodations are selected from: a hotel room with hotel facilities, a guest office with office facilities, a rental car package, an airline seat package, a restaurant table package, and a train seat package (Para. 45, lines 14-20).

As per <u>Claim 3</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include the configuration of the guest accommodations (Para. 14, lines 1-4).

As per <u>Claim 4</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include preferences regarding the location of the guest accommodations with respect to features of the accommodations or the locations of accommodations of other guests (Para. 15, lines 1-15).

As per <u>Claim 5</u>, Sunyich further discloses system in which the customer preferences include user programmable settings of consumer appliances in the guest accommodations (Para. 16, 17).

As per <u>Claim 6</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include preferred contents of a refrigerator in the guest accommodation (Para. 17, lines 3-5).

As per <u>Claim 7</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include preferred food and food preparation equipment in the guest accommodations (Para. 17, lines 7-11).

Art Unit: 3639

As per <u>Claim 8</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include meal preferences (Para. 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1.

As per <u>Claims 9 and 10</u>, Sunyich fails to explicitly disclose customer preferences including preferred office equipment or supplies in the guest accommodations. However, Sunyich teaches a system in which the customer preferences include preferred environmental settings, food, lighting and internet connection (Para. 16, 17 and 45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include preferences for preferred office equipment because it would provide the customer with an improved user-friendly system with greater convenience.

8. Claims 11-17, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1 in view of Daum et al., Pub. No. US2003/0109938 A1.

As per Claims 11 and 25, Sunyich discloses an automated booking system for guest

Art Unit: 3639

accommodations, comprising:

- a guest accommodation which can be automatically booked by the system and used by the customer for a period of time and which can be provided with guest appliances (Para. 16, 17 and 21);

- a network of an accommodations provider, the provider network having a data base, the guest appliances of the guest accommodations are connected to the provider network (Para. 21);
- reservation means in communication with a home network of a customer and the provider network for reserving the guest accommodations for a period of use (Para. 8);
- settings transmission means for transmitting the user programmable settings of the home appliances of the customer to the provider data base (Para. 8); and
- means for downloading the user programmable settings from the provider data base into the guest appliances of the guest accommodations for programming the appliances of the guest accommodations at the time of the customer's use of the accommodations (Para. 8 and 21).

Nonetheless, Sunyich fails to disclose a system comprising a home network of a customer, the home network interconnecting home appliances having user programmable settings. However, Daum et al. teaches a home network that transmits commands between a source and appliances (See Claim 1 of Daum et al). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include the preferences from the home network of the customer as taught by Daum et al. because it greatly improves the efficiency of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,613

Art Unit: 3639

As per Claims 12, Sunyich fails to explicitly disclose a system in which settings for a

Page 7

plurality of the customer's home appliances are transmitted, stored and downloaded into

corresponding appliances of the accommodations that have functions similar to the

corresponding home appliances. However, Sunyich teaches a system that allows users to enter

their preferred environmental preferences, and also discloses that the system would be

appropriate in other lodging situations (Para. 8 and 45). It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and

include the preferences from one's home network because it greatly improves the efficiency of

the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

As per Claim 13, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the settings of a plurality

of the customer's home appliances are analyzed to determine user programmable settings for

appliances that are different from the customer's home appliances (Para. 15, lines 11-15).

As per Claim 14-16, Sunyich fails to disclose a system in which the home network

includes a data base containing the user programmable settings of devices connected to the home

network. However, Daum et al. teaches a database to control the programmable settings of the

home network (Para. 34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include a database

with programmable settings of the appliances as taught by Daum et al. because it greatly

Art Unit: 3639

improves the efficiency of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

As per <u>Claim 17</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the external network includes one or more of: a cable television network, a telephone network, and the internet (Para. 19).

As per <u>Claim 20</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include environmental settings selected from one or more of temperature, humidity, and light level settings (Para. 16).

As per <u>Claim 21</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include a channel map for translating between sources of multimedia programming and means for selecting multimedia programming (Para. 16, lines 10-15).

As per Claim 22, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the means for selecting programming includes a plurality of buttons on a remote control and channel map allows the customer in the hotel room to use the same series of one or more remote control buttons to select a desired programming source as the series of buttons he uses in his home to select that source (Para. 16, lines 10-15).

As per <u>Claim 23</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include settings of software of a personal computer of the hotel room (Para. 16, lines 14-15).

Art Unit: 3639

As per <u>Claim 24</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include security settings including a security code of a room alarm system (Para. 15, line 10).

9. Claims **18 and 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1 in view of Daum et al., Pub. No. US2003/0109938 A1 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Lee et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,899,373.

As per Claims 18 and 19, Sunyich fails to disclose in which the programmable settings include speed dial settings for dialing make telephone calls or a facsimile by pushing fewer buttons than the number of digits in corresponding phone numbers. However, Lee et al. teaches a database with a customer's programmed speed dials (Col. 1, lines 56-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include preferences for programmable settings that include speed dialing as taught by Daum et al. because it greatly improves the convenience of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

Art Unit: 3639

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Gonzales et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,834,208 B2
- b. Dombroski et al., Pub. No. US2003/0023463 A1
- c. Yoon et al., Pub. No. US2002/0073183

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadey S. Jabr whose telephone number is (571) 272-1516. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fadey S Jabr Examiner Art Unit 3639

FSJ

JOHN W. HAYES SUPERMOUSE PARENT EXAMINER